

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/693,267	SHOEN, MARK V.	
	Examiner James M. Hewitt	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants:

(1) James M. Hewitt.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Richard Oney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 October 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

16, 27 and 37

Prior art documents discussed:

Kontra (US 2,565,659) and Winchester (US 3,380,267)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 16, 27 and 37 as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment in order to distinguish the claims over the prior art.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)